arXiv:cond-mat/9408050v1 18 Aug 1994

Talk presented at King's College, May 1994

One Dimensional Exactly Solvable Models of Strongly Correlated Electrons of $1/r^2$ Hopping and Exchange

C. Gruber and D. F. Wang

Institut de Physique Théorique

École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne

PHB-Ecublens, CH-1015 Lausanne-Switzerland.

We review some recent progresses in study of the 1D strongly correlated electron systems of long range hopping and exchange. The systems are completely integrable, with infinite number of constants of motions. The results of the physical properties, such as the wavefunctions, the full excitation spectrum and the thermodynamics, are also reviewed.

PACS number: 71.30.+h, 05.30.-d, 74.65+n, 75.10.Jm

Typeset Using *REVTEX*

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the main subjects of modern physics is to understand the systems consisting of many particles interacting with each other. Due to many degrees of freedom, as well as due to the interactions between particles, in general, to exactly solve their equations of motion is impossible in most cases. However, in some very special cases, that is, for the so-called integrable systems, we can find exact solutions for their eigenenergies, wavefunctions and other physical properties, because of infinite number of symmetries of the systems. The classical examples are dated back to the ansatz, proposed by Bethe in 1931 [1] for the ground state wavefunction of the spin 1/2 Heisenberg chain, as well as the Onsager's solution for the 2D Ising model in zero magnetic field [2].

In 1963, Lieb, and later Lieb and Liniger studied the one dimensional delta potential interacting Bose gas wavefunctions by constructing ansatz [3], without knowing Bethe's idea for the spin chain. After the work of McGuire on $M = 1$ [4] case and that of Flicker and Lieb [5] on the $M = 2$ case, Yang, Gaudin independently found the solutions for the one dimensional delta function potential spin 1/2 fermion system for $M > 2$ [6,7]. Together with Baxter's solution for the statistical mechanical system (the eight-vertex model) [8], factorization of scattering matrix, often called Yang-Baxter relation, has been the key to many exactly solvable models, both in condensed matter theory and in high energy physics. We'd like to mention, in particular, its great applications to the condensed matter systems, such as the well-known Lieb-Wu solution for the one dimensional Hubbard model [9], the Bethe-ansatz for the one impurity Kondo model [10] and the Anderson model [11].

Having said those, we'd like to mention, as one exciting progress in quantum field theory, the development of the conformal field in two dimensions, where the infinite number of conformal symmetries fully determine the dynamics of the systems, since it was realized that the scaling invariant systems also respect the conformal symmetries [12]. The conformal field theory has become a powerful tool for the critical phenomenon theory, where the fluctuations on all length scales are important and the systems are scale invariant. Generally speaking,

such infinite number of symmetries determining systems' dynamics may also be considered as one example of solvability when many degrees of freedom are involved. In general, exact solvability of many particle systems (in field theory case, we have continuum of particles) have been attracting attentions from both condensed matter physicists, and mathematical physicists, as well as from field theorists.

In this talk, we'd like to view some recent work in the approach to the 1D strongly correlated electron systems by solving them exactly. There has been considerable general interest in study of low dimensional strongly correlated electrons, largely due to the discovery of high temperature superconductivity [13]. The two dimensional t-J model and the Hubbard model, believed to model the high temperature superconductors, have been studied intensively through various methods [14]. The fixed point behaviors of the systems from one dimension to two dimensions have been one of the major interests of the theorists working in the field. Whether the normal state of the new 2D-like superconducting materials is a non-fermi liquid, is one of main focuses for its theoretical study.

The ordinary one dimensional t-J model with supersymmetry [15], and the one dimensional Hubbard model are exactly solvable [9], with the technique of the Bethe-ansatz. Different from the Bethe-integrable systems like these, consisting of one type of integrable family, Calogero and Sutherland have developed another quite interesting integrable interacting many particle family with long range $1/r^2$ interactions [30,31]. The interests in the Calogero-Sutherland type systems are renewed after Haldane and Shastry's independent works on the integrable one dimensional spin systems with long range exchange interaction in 1988 [16,17]. The close relation of the Calogero-Sutherland type system with quantum chaos, random matrices, has been greatly acknowldeged. In our study, however, we focus on the integrable correlated electron systems in one dimension related to the Calogero-Sutherland-type quantum system, that is, the one dimensional t-J models of $1/r^2$ hopping and exchange.

II. 1D T-J MODEL ON UNIFORM LATTICE

Let us first consider the $1/r^2$ supersymmetric t-J model on a one dimensional lattice of equal spaced sites. The Hamiltonian for the system is given by

$$H = (1/2)P_G \left[-\sum_{1\le i\neq j\le L} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{N} t_{ij}(c^\dagger_{i\sigma}c_{j\sigma}) + \sum_{1\le i\neq j\le L} J_{ij}\left[P_{ij} - (1-n_i)(1-n_j)\right] \right] P_G, \qquad (1)$$

where we take the hopping matrix and the spin exchange interaction to be $t_{ij}/2 = J_{ij} = 1/d^2(i-j)$, and $d(n) = (L/\pi)\sin(n\pi/L)$ is the chord distance, with L the size of the lattice. The operator $c^\dagger_{i\sigma}$ is the fermionic operator to create an electron with spin component σ at site i, $c_{i\sigma}$ is the corresponding fermionic annihilation operator. Their anti-commutation relations are given by $\{c_{i\sigma_i}, c^\dagger_{j\sigma_j}\}_+ = \delta_{ij}\delta_{\sigma_i\sigma_j}, \{c_{i\sigma_i}, c_{j\sigma_j}\}_+ = 0, \{c^\dagger_{i\sigma_i}, c^\dagger_{j\sigma_j}\}_+ = 0$. We assume that the spin component σ takes values from 1 to N. The Gutzwiller projection operator P_G projects out all the double or multiple occupancies, $P_G = \prod_{i=1}^{L} P_G(i)$, and $P_G(i) = \delta_{0,n_i} + \delta_{1,n_i}$, with $n_i = \sum_{\sigma=1}^{N} c^\dagger_{i\sigma}c_{i\sigma}$. The operator $P_{ij} = \sum_{\sigma=1}^{N}\sum_{\sigma'=1}^{N} c^\dagger_{i\sigma}c_{i\sigma'}c^\dagger_{j\sigma'}c_{j\sigma}$ exchanges the spins of the electrons at sites i and j, if both sites are occupied. n_i and n_j are the electron number operators at sites i and j.

The $SU(2)$ model was first introduced by Kuramoto and Yokoyama, who provided the ground state of the system, the Gutzwiller projected Fermi sea away from half-filling [21]. More generalized Jastrow wavefunctions, including the ground state as a member, were also introduced [26,33]. The asymptotic energy spectrum was derived by Kawakami based on the assumption of asymptotic scattering matrix factorization [22]. The system is identified as a free Luttinger liquid [21,22,26,33]. In particular, for the $SU(2)$ case, the asymptotic Bethe-ansatz spectrum was explicitly shown to be exact, and the correct thermodynamics was given when the spinon rotation was properly taken into account [26], in terms of a set of generalized Laughlin-Jastrow wavefunctions. In general, exact solvability implies existence of infinite number of constants of motion. At half-filling, this system reduces to the Haldane-Shastry spin. Quite recently, the constants of motion of the spin chain have been studied [28], using the operators M_{ij} [27]. In our most recent work, we have pointed out that their results

can apply to the case where the holes are involved, with the superalgebra representation of the fermionic fields in the t-J model [24], by mapping the system to a mixture gas of fermions and bosons on the one dimensional lattice.

A. The constants of motion

For the t-J model, we may introduce two new fields, the f and b fields. For the new fields, we have $\{f_{i\sigma}, f_{j\sigma'}\}_+ = 0, \{f^\dagger_{i\sigma}, f_{j\sigma'}\}_+ = \delta_{ij}\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}, [b_i, b_j] = 0, \left[b_i, b^\dagger_j\right] = \delta_{ij}$. The b field always commute with the f field. The size of the Hilbert space at each site is ∞ in this case. After projecting out the zero occupancy, double and multiple occupancies, the new Hilbert space is equivalent to the one defined by the c fields with no double or multiple occupancies. In particular, we may represent the fermionic electron operators $c^\dagger_{i\sigma}$ and $c_{i\sigma}$ as:

$$\begin{aligned} P_G(i)c^\dagger_{i\sigma}P_G(i) &= \delta_{1,n^i_b+n^i_f} f^\dagger_{i\sigma} b_i \delta_{1,n^i_b+n^i_f} \\ P_G(i)c_{i\sigma}P_G(i) &= \delta_{1,n^i_b+n^i_f} b^\dagger_i f_{i\sigma} \delta_{1,n^i_b+n^i_f}, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $n^i_b + n^i_f = b^\dagger_i b_i + \sum_{\sigma=1}^N f^\dagger_{i\sigma} f_{i\sigma}$. Hence, a state vector can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi> = \sum_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\cdots,\sigma_{N_e}} \sum_{\{x\},\{y\}} \phi(x_1\sigma_1, x_2\sigma_2, \cdots, x_{N_e}\sigma_{N_e}|y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_Q) \times \\ \times f^\dagger_{x_1\sigma_1} f^\dagger_{x_2\sigma_2} \cdots f^\dagger_{x_{N_e}\sigma_{N_e}} b^\dagger_{y_1} b^\dagger_{y_2} \cdots b^\dagger_{y_Q} |0>, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where N_e is the number of f fermions on the lattice, Q is the number of b bosons, and we require that $x_i \neq x_j \neq y_k \neq y_l$, and that the f fermion positions $\{x\}$ and the b boson positions $\{y\}$ span the whole chain. Obviously, N_e is also the number of the electrons, and Q is also the number of holes on the lattice. The amplitude ϕ is anti-symmetric when exchanging $(x_i\sigma_i)$ and $(x_j\sigma_j)$, and symmetric in the boson coordinates $\{y\} = (y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_Q)$. The Hamiltonian of the supersymmetric t-J model, using the mapping Eq. (2) in a straightforward way, can be written in terms of the fermionic f field and the bosonic b field.

With the above mapping, we may write the eigen-energy equation of the supersymmetric t-J model in first quantized form. Following Ref. ([27]), we define the "exchange operator" M_{ij} as $M_{ij}F(q_1, q_2, \cdots, q_i, \cdots, q_j, \cdots, q_L) = F(q_1, q_2, \cdots, q_j, \cdots, q_i, \cdots, q_L)$, where the

function F is an arbitrary function of some position variables $(q_1, q_2, \cdots, q_L)$, i.e., the operator M_{ij} exchanges the positions q_i, q_j of the particles i and j. In terms of such exchange operators, the eigen-energy equation of the t-J model takes the form as follows

$$-(1/2)\left[\sum_{1\leq i\neq j\leq L} d^{-2}(q_i - q_j)M_{ij}\right]\phi(\{q\};\{\sigma\}) = E\phi(\{q\};\{\sigma\}), \tag{4}$$

where $\{q\} = (q_1, q_2, \cdots, q_L) = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{N_e}, y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_Q)$, and $\phi(\{q\};\{\sigma\}) = \phi(q_1\sigma_1, q_2\sigma_2, \cdots, q_{N_e}\sigma_{N_e}|q_{N_e+1}q_{N_e+2}\cdots q_L) = \phi(x_1\sigma_1, x_2\sigma_2, \cdots, x_{N_e}\sigma_{N_e}|y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_Q)$ is the amplitude of the state vector of Eq. (3). $\{\sigma\} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \cdots, \sigma_{N_e})$ are the spin variables of the f fermions. The operation M_{ij} is defined in the conventional way: $M_{ij}\phi(\{q\};\{\sigma\}) = \phi(\{q'\};\{\sigma\})$, with $\{q\} = (q_1, q_2, \cdots, q_i, \cdots, q_j, \cdots, q_L)$ and $\{q'\} = (q_1, q_2, \cdots, q_j, \cdots, q_i, \cdots, q_L)$. Therefore, the original t-J model is written as an eigenvalue problem for a mixture of the f fermions and the spinless b bosons in terms of the position exchange operator M_{ij}.

The constants of motion have been studied recently for the spin chain of $1/r^2$ exchange interaction. In the work, a system of identical bosons on the chain is considered, and the wavefunctions is totally symmetric when interchanging two particles. In terms of the operator M_{ij}, the following commutation results have been found [28]

$$[I_n, I_m] = 0, \tag{5}$$

where $I_n = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \pi_i^n$, with $\pi_i = \sum_{j(\neq i)}(z_j/z_{ij})M_{ij}$, $z_i = e^{2\pi i q_i/L}$, $z_{ij} = z_i - z_j$, and $n, m = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, \infty$. It was found that all these quantities commute with the Hamiltonian $H = \sum_{1\leq i\neq j\leq L} |z_i - z_j|^{-2}M_{ij}$ as long as the particles occupy the whole chain. For a system of identical bosons on the chain, the wavefunction is totally symmetric when we simultaneously interchange spins and positions of two particles. The effect of the exchange operator M_{ij} is just equivalent to the effect of the spin exchange operator alone. With this method, the constants of motion for the $SU(N)$ Haldane-Shastry spin chains were constructed in Ref. [28].

In the recent work [24], we have stressed that, in the language of the exchange operators M_{ij}, the commutation results proved by Fowler and Minahan hold as operator identities.

The central issue is that the forms of wavefunctions of many particle systems, as well as the statistics of the particles or the types of the particles, do *not* matter in order for the commutators to hold, as long as the particles occupy the whole chain. We may then apply the "exchange operator formalism" to the wavefunctions of mixtures of fermions and bosons. Therefore, from the eigen-equation Eq. (4), we thus conclude that in the first quantization, all the invariants of the t-J model are the same I_n's as in Ref. [28].

With the permutation properties of the amplitude ϕ for the mixture of bosons and fermions, it is straightforward to write all the invariants of motion of the t-J model in the second quantization form using the I_n's. For instance, the exchange operation between the f fermion positions is equivalent to the spin exchange operation (minus sign involved), the exchange operation between b boson positions is equivalent to the hole-hole interaction term, and exchange operation between f fermion and b boson positions is equivalent to the electron hopping. Such procedure to reduce an I_n to a second quantized form is quite simple, and we do not write all the details. Thus we provide a systematical way to construct all the invariants of motion for the $1/r^2$ supersymmetric t-J model, either in first quantized or in second quantized forms.

B. The Jastrow product wavefunctions

Having said the integrability of the t-J model, we'd like to mention that the exact eigen-wavefunctions can be constructed explicitly for the system. In the $SU(2)$ case, states in the Hilbert space can be represented by spin and hole excitations from the fully-polarized up-spin state $|P>$. If we let Q denote the number of holes and M denote the number of down-spins, then S_z is given by $S_z = (N-Q)/2 - M$. The wavefunctions are given by

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{x,y} \psi(x,y) \prod_{\alpha} S^{-}_{x_\alpha} \prod_{i} h^{\dagger}_{y_i} |P\rangle, \tag{6}$$

where the amplitude $\psi(x,y)$ is symmetric in $x \equiv (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_M)$, the positions of the down-spins, and antisymmetric in $y \equiv (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_Q)$, the positions of the holes. $S^{-}_{x_\alpha} = c^{\dagger}_{x_\alpha\downarrow} c_{x_\alpha\uparrow}$ is the spin-lowering operator at site x_α and $h^{\dagger}_{y_i} = c_{y_i\uparrow}$ creates a hole at site y_i.

We can construct a general class of states corresponding to states of uniform motion and spin polarization, by generalizing Kuramoto and Yokoyama's Jastrow ground-state as follows [26]

$$\psi_G(x,y;J_s,J_h) = \exp\left[\frac{2\pi i}{N}\left(J_s\sum_\alpha x_\alpha + J_h\sum_i y_i\right)\right]\mathbf{\Psi}_0(x,y)$$
$$\mathbf{\Psi}_0(x,y) = \prod_{\alpha<\beta} d^2(x_\alpha - x_\beta)\prod_{i<j} d(y_i-y_j)\prod_{\alpha,i} d(x_\alpha - y_i). \tag{7}$$

Here, J_s and J_h govern the (uniform) momenta of down-spins and holes respectively. J_s and J_h take on either integral or half-integral values as appropriate to insure that ψ_G has the correct periodicities under $x_\alpha \to x_\alpha + N$ and $y_i \to y_i + N$.

The wavefunction ψ_G is found to be an exact eigenstate of H with energy [26]

$$\frac{N^2}{\pi^2 t}E = \frac{2}{3}M(M^2-1) - 2MJ_s(N-J_s)$$
$$+Q\Big[\frac{1}{3}(N^2-1)+\frac{2}{3}(Q^2-1)+\frac{1}{2}(M+Q)(2M-Q)$$
$$-2J_h(N-J_h)+2(J_s-J_h)^2\Big]+\frac{1}{48}(N^2-1)(N-Q). \tag{8}$$

which is valid under the conditions $|J_s - N/2| \le N/2-(M-1+Q/2)$, $|J_h - N/2| \le N/2-(M+Q-1)/2$ and $|J_h - J_s| \le (M+1)/2$. For a given S_z, the minimum energy is given when J_s and J_h are as close to $N/2$ as possible. When $Q=0$ this reduces to the result for the Heisenberg chain [16,17]. These energy levels were also found independently in Ref. [33]. The equivalence of the two representations is clear when identifying $J_\uparrow = J_h - N + (M+Q+1)/2$ and $J_\downarrow = J_h - J_s - (M-1)/2$. Besides these explicitly constructed excited wavefunctions, we'd like to emphasize that the full spectrum and the thermodynamics can also be written out in terms of Jastrow wavefunctions of more generalized form [26].

III. THE 1D T-J MODEL ON NONUNIFORM LATTICE

A. The integrability

Besides the above integrable t-J model on equally-spaced sites, let us consider another supersymmetric t-J model of $1/r^2$ hopping and exchange on a chain with sites not equally

spaced. The positions of the sites $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_L$ are determined by the equation

$$x_i = \sum_{1 \le j(\neq i) \le L} 2/(x_i - x_j)^3. \tag{9}$$

An integrable spin chain was introduced on a non-uniform lattice on which the sites are positioned by the equation [27]. Later, Frahm realized that the solutions of the equations are the zeros of the Hermit polynomials $H_L(x)$ [29]. The Hermite polynomial is defined as

$$H_L(x) = (-1)^L e^{x^2} \frac{\partial^L e^{-x^2}}{\partial x^L}. \tag{10}$$

It was well-known that the Hermit polynomial has L roots, all of which are real numbers, and the lattice is thus well defined.

Doping the spin chain, we have introduced the following supersymmetric t-J model [24]

$$H = (1/2) P_G \left[- \sum_{1 \le i \ne j \le L} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{N} t_{ij} (c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma}) + \sum_{1 \le i \ne j \le L} J_{ij} \left[P_{ij} - (1-n_i)(1-n_j) \right] \right] P_G, \tag{11}$$

where the hopping matrix and the anti-ferromagnetic exchange interaction are given by $t_{ij}/2 = J_{ij} = 1/(x_i - x_j)^2$, and each site is occupied at most by one electron.

In the half filling case $N_e = L$, this system reduces to the spin chain that has been studied before, for which a similar exchange operator formalism has been developed. Let us just write down the results obtained in Ref. [27]: $[I_n, I_m] = 0, [I_n, H] = 0$, where $I_n = \sum_{i=1}^{L} h_i^n$, $h_i = a_i^\dagger a_i$, and $a_i^\dagger = \pi_i^\dagger + i q_i, a_i = \pi_i - i q_i$, with $\pi_i = \sum_{j(\neq i)} i (q_i - q_j)^{-1} M_{ij}, H = \sum_{i \ne j} (q_i - q_j)^{-2} M_{ij}$, and $n, m = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, \infty$. Here, all the particles are put on the chain where the sites are positioned as determined by the Eq. (9). We may relate the operation of exchanging particle positions to the operation to exchange particle spins, by assuming that we have identical bosons again, for which the wavefunctions are totally symmetric when we exchange the spins and positions of two particles simultaneously. With this assumption, from I_n's, we thus can derive all the invariants of motion written in terms of the spin exchange operators alone.

Again, all commutation results written in terms of the exchange operators M_{ij} obtained by Polychronakos hold as operator identities, as long as the particles occupy the whole chain of the sites positioned in the special way. The forms of the wavefunctions do *not* matter at all.

Thus the commutation results may apply to wavefunctions of particles of arbitrary statistics or wavefunctions of mixtures of particles of different statistics on the chain. Mapping our new supersymmetric t-J model in terms of the b and f fields, we may also write the eigenenergy equation in first quantized form. In terms of the exchange operators between the positions of the bosons and fermions, the Hamiltonian takes the form

$$H = (-1/2) \sum_{1 \le i \ne j \le L} (q_i - q_j)^{-2} M_{ij}. \tag{12}$$

Applying the formalism to this t-J model, in a similar way we may obtain all the invariants, either in first quantized or in the second quantized form, which commute among themselves and with the Hamiltonian. Thus this supersymmetric t-J model is also completely integrable.

B. The wavefunctions

The Hamiltonian commutes with the permutation operator $T_{ij} = P^{\sigma}_{ij} M_{ij}$ exchanging the f fermion spin and position simultaneously. Let us work in the Hilbert space where the number of fermions of each flavor is fixed, i.e. $\tilde{N}_\sigma$, $\sigma = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, is fixed. Gruber and Wang have considered the following wavefunction in Jastrow product form [25],

$$\phi(x_1\sigma_1, x_2\sigma_2, \cdots, x_{N_e}\sigma_{N_e} | y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_Q) = \prod_{i<j} (x_i - x_j)^{\delta_{\sigma_i\sigma_j}} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2} sgn(\sigma_i - \sigma_j)}, \tag{13}$$

where $\{x\}$ and $\{y\}$ span the whole lattice.

It was shown that the wavefunction ϕ is an eigenstate with eigenvalue [25]

$$E = -L(L-1)/4 + (1/2) \sum_{\sigma=1}^{N} (\tilde{N}_\sigma - 1)\tilde{N}_\sigma. \tag{14}$$

Although it is expected that this wavefunction is the lowest energy state in the subspace of fixed $\tilde{N}_1, \tilde{N}_2, \cdots, \tilde{N}_N$, we were not able to prove it. However, in the case of $SU(2)$, the small lattice diagonalization up to 8 sites confirms this conclusion.

For fixed number N_e of the electron number, the minimum of the energy is obtained when $|\tilde{N}_\sigma - \tilde{N}_{\sigma'}|$ is as small as possible for each pair $\sigma \ne \sigma'$. In the $SU(2)$ case, the above result becomes

$$E = (-1)L(L-1)/4 + (1/2)\tilde{N}_\uparrow(\tilde{N}_\uparrow - 1) + (1/2)\tilde{N}_\downarrow(\tilde{N}_\downarrow - 1), \tag{15}$$

where $\tilde{N}_\uparrow$ and $\tilde{N}_\downarrow$ are the numbers of the up-spin electrons and the down-spin electrons respectively. For fixed number of electrons on the chain, i.e. for fixed N_e, the minimum of the energy given in Eq. (15) is obtained when $S_z = 0$ for even N_e, or when $S_z = \pm 1/2$ for odd N_e. Therefore, the ground state is a spin singlet (respectively spin 1/2) state for even (respectively odd) number of electrons on the chain. In particular, for an even number of electrons on the chain, the ground state energy is

$$E_G = (-1/4)L(L-1) + N_e^2/4 - N_e/2, \tag{16}$$

while for an odd number of electrons it is

$$E_G = (-1/4)L(L-1) + (\frac{N_e - 1}{2})^2. \tag{17}$$

The charge susceptibility of the ground state χ_c is given by $\chi_c^{-1} = \partial^2 E_G/\partial N_e^2 = 1/2$, independent of the electron concentration. The charge susceptibility is also finite at half-filling $N_e = L$, in spite of the existence of a metal-insulator phase transition at half-filling for this system.

To study the spectrum of the system away from half-filling, we follow the idea introduced in Ref. [27], defining the operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_j &= i \sum_{k(\neq j)} (q_j - q_k)^{-1} M_{jk} = \pi_j^\dagger, \\ a_j^\dagger &= \pi_j + i q_j, \\ a_j &= \pi_j - i q_j, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

which satisfy the following commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [\pi_j, \pi_k] &= 0 \\ [q_j, H] &= i\pi_j \\ [\pi_j, H] &= -2i \sum_{k(\neq j)} (q_j - q_k)^{-3}. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Then using the property of the roots of the Hermite polynomial we have

$$[\pi_j, H] = -iq_j, \tag{20}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}[a_j^\dagger, H] &= -a_j^\dagger \\ [a_j, H] &= a_j. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Therefore the operators $A_i^\dagger(\nu) = a_i^\dagger S_i^{(\nu)}, i = 1, 2, \cdots, N_e$, where $\nu = 0, \pm, z$ for the $SU(2)$ case with $S_i^{(0)} = 1$, will act as raising operators, while their hermitian conjugate $A_i(\nu)$ will act as lowering operators. It thus follows that the wavefunction

$$\phi_{\{n\},\{\nu\}} = \sum_P \prod_{i=1}^{N_e} (A_i^\dagger(\nu_i))^{n_{P_i}} \phi \tag{22}$$

with $\{n\} = (n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_{N_e}), n_i \geq 0, \{\nu\} = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \cdots, \nu_{N_e})$, is either an eigenstate with energy

$$E_{\{n\}} = E + \sum_{i=1}^{N_e} n_i \tag{23}$$

or zero. Moreover, for the b boson degrees of freedom, we have following property [25]

$$a_i \phi = 0, i \in (N_e + 1, N_e + 2, \cdots, L), \tag{24}$$

We have also shown the following results are true:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha=N_e+1}^{L} a_\alpha \phi = 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{N_e} a_i \phi = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Furthermore, we realize that

$$[\sum_{i=1}^{N_e} A_i(z)]\phi = 0. \tag{26}$$

In the particular case where $\tilde{N}_\uparrow = \tilde{N}_\downarrow$, the wavefunction function ϕ is a spin singlet and we may globally rotate Eq. (26) in the spin space, giving us [25]

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N_e} A_i(\pm)\right]\phi = 0. \tag{27}$$

These results have demonstrated the impossibilities of constructing non-vanishing eigenstates with these lowering operators and the wavefunctions ϕ in the subspace where the number of electrons of each flavor is fixed. The results are in support of our conclusion that in general the Jastrow wavefunction is the lowest energy state in the subspace of fixed number of particles of each flavor.

In the end, we have concluded that the excitation spectrum of the system is of the form [25]

$$\begin{aligned} E(s) &= E + s; \\ s &\in (0, 1, 2, \cdots, s_{max}), \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

i. e., the spectrum of this t-J model consists of equal-spaced energy levels. Since the model is on a finite chain, s_{max} is finite. In the special case of $SU(2)$, the small lattice diagonalization up to 8 sites suggests that the highest energy level is given by

$$E_{max}(Q) = L(L-1)/4 - Q(Q-1)/2, \tag{29}$$

where Q is the number of holes on the chain. The feature of the t-J model spectrum consisting of equal-distant energy levels may also be seen by taking the strong interaction limit of the Calogero-Sutherland-Morse quantum system for a mixture of fermions and bosons,

$$H = (-1/2)\sum_{i=1}^{L} \partial^2/\partial q_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{L} l^2 q_i^2/2 + \sum_{i<j} l(l - M_{ij})/(q_i - q_j)^2, \tag{30}$$

where there are N_e fermions with spins and Q spinless bosons, M_{ij} permutes the positions of the particles i and j only.

Finally, we'd like to point out that the Hilbert space of the t-J model has very interesting degeneracy pattern, as indicated by the finite lattice study. It still remains to find a systematic rule to characterize the degeneracy of each energy level. So does the thermodynamics remain to be solved.

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this talk, we have reviewed some recent progresses in study of the 1D strongly correlated electron systems. They are exactly solvable systems, close related to the continuum Calogero-Sutherland quantum nonrelativistic system with long range pairwise interaction. There have still been constant interests in such systems recently. In particular, we would like to mention that the Calogero-Sutherland system can be interpreted, with Haldane's new definition of statistics based on counting state number, as a system of particles obeying fractional statistics, where the dynamic coupling is closely related to the fractional statistical parameter [36–38]. We also wish to mention that the complete analytical confirmation for the energy spectrum and thermodynamics of the $1/r$ Hubbard model with finite U, proposed by Gebhard and Ruckenstein have been still lacking [32]. In the strong coupling limit $U = \infty$, the Gutzwiller-Jastrow wavefunctions were demonstrated to be eigenfunctions of the Hamintonian, the spectrum conjectured by Gebhard and Ruckensten was explicitly proved to be exact [34,35]. It remains to find the wavefunctions and the constants of motion for the finite U case for this Hubbard model with only relativistic right movers. Further exciting results are expected for these interesting integrable systems from the communities of condensed matter theory, high energy physics, as well as of mathematical physics.

REFERENCES

[1] H. Bethe, Z. Phys. **75**, 205 (1931).

[2] L. Onsager, Phys. Rev. **65**, 117 (1944).

[3] E. H. Lieb and Liniger, Phys. Rev. **130**, 1605 (1963). E. H. Lieb, Phys. Rev. **130**, 1616 (1963).

[4] J. B. Guire, J. Math. Phys. **6**, 432 (1965).

[5] F. Flicker and E. H. Lieb, Phys. Rev. **161**, 179 (1967).

[6] C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19**, 1312 (1967).

[7] M. Gaudin, Phys. Lett. **A24**, 55 (1967).

[8] R. J. Baxter, Ann. of Phys. **70**, 193 (1972).

[9] E. H. Lieb and F. Y. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **20**, 1445 (1968).

[10] N. Andrei, Phys. Rev. Lett. **45**, 379 (1980), N. Andrei, K. Furuya and J. H. Lowenstein, Rev. Mod. Phys. **55**, 331 (1983).

[11] P. B. Wiegmann, J. Phys. **C 14**, 1463 (1981).

[12] A. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. **B 241**, 333 (1984).

[13] P. W. Anderson, Science **235**, 1196 (1987).

[14] See review article by Z. B. Su and L. Yu (preprint of ICTP, Trieste), (1993), detailed references therein.

[15] S. Sakar, J. Phys. **A23**, L 409 (1990), **24**, 1137 (1991), **24**, 5775 (1991). P. A. Bares, G. Blatter and M. Ogata, Phys. Rev. **B 44**, 130 (1991).

[16] B. S. Shastry, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 639 (1988); Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 164 (1992).

[17] F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 635 (1988); Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 1529 (1991).

[18] B. S. Shastry and B. Sutherland, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 4092 (1993).

[19] B. Sutherland and B. S. Shastry, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 5 (1993).

[20] K. Vacek, A. Okiji and N. Kawakami, Osaka-Kyoto Preprint, 1993.

[21] Y. Kuramoto and H. Yokoyama, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 1338 (1991).

[22] N. Kawakami, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 7525 (1992).

[23] N. Kawakami, Phys. Rev. **B 46**, 1005 (1992).

[24] D. F. Wang and C. Gruber, IPT-EPFL preprint (November 1, 1993), "Invariants of the 1D $1/r^2$ t-J Models".

[25] C. Gruber and D. F. Wang, IPT-EPFL preprint (January 1994), "Exact Results of the 1D $1/r^2$ t-J Model without Translational Invariants".

[26] D. F. Wang, James T. Liu and P. Coleman, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 6639 (1992).

[27] A. P. Polychronakos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 703 (1992), Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 2329 (1993).

[28] M. Fowler and J. A. Minahan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 2325 (1993).

[29] Holger Frahm, J. Phys. A. **26**, 473 (1993).

[30] F. Calogero, J. Math. Phys. **10**, 2191 (1969).

[31] B. Sutherland, Phys. Rev. A **5**, 1372 (1972), Phys. Rev. A **4**, 2019 (1971), J. Math. Phys. **12**, 251 (1971), J. Math. Phys. **12**, 246 (1971),

[32] F. Gebhard and A. E. Ruckenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 244 (1992).

[33] Z. Ha and Haldane, Phys. Rev. **B 46**, 9359 (1993).

[34] D. F. Wang, Q. F. Zhong and P . Coleman, Phys. Rev. **B 48**, 8476 (1993).

[35] D. F. Wang, Phys. Rev. **B 48**, 10556 (1993).

[36] D. Benard and Y. S. Wu, cond-mat preprint April (1994).

[37] S. Li etc, Macmaster Univ., cond-mat preprint April (1994).

[38] Muthry, cond-mat preprint April (1994).